

Dr. Elizabeth Polgreen





## SAT



$$\exists A, B \\ A \land \neg B$$

A : true B : false



## (program) Synthesis



## (program) Synthesis



F: max(A,B)



#### **Progress of SAT solvers in SAT competition**



#### Number of problems solved





We all write code

But writing correct code is hard...

SAT solvers allow computers to check code for us.



We all write code

But writing correct code is hard...

SAT solvers allow computers to check code for us.

Synthesis could allow computers to repair code for us.





(program) Synthesis







## In this talk

- Define synthesis
- Describe how my research fits into this vision
- Details: CounterExample Guided Inductive Synthesis
  modulo Theories
- Future

# What is synthesis?

Input-output

examples

• Synthesis that satisfies a specification  $\sigma$ .  $\frown$  constraints

# What is synthesis?

- Synthesis that satisfies a specification  $\sigma$ .
- Can be framed as Oracle Guided Learning.





Input-output

examples

constraints

# What is, synthesis?

- Synthesis that satisfies a specification  $\sigma$ .
- Can be framed as Oracle Guided Learning.



# What is, synthesis?

CounterExample Guided Inductive Synthesis (CEGIS)[1]

- Logical specification  $\sigma$ .
- Synthesizes expressions/loop-free programs



Counterexamples

[1] Sketching stencils, Solar-Lezama et al. PLDI 2007

# What is, synthesis?

CounterExample Guided Inductive Synthesis (CEGIS)

- Logical specification  $\sigma$ .
- Synthesizes expressions/loop-free programs



Counterexamples



























### CounterExample Guided Inductive Synthesis Modulo Theories

CAV 2018

Extends CEGIS framework to

- verify generalized candidate solutions and
- return more general counterexamples.

CEGIS(T) is able to synthesize programs containing arbitrary constants that elude other solvers.

- Do not appear in the synthesis problem - Not 0, 1 or FFFF


























 $init(x) \iff x = 0$  $trans(x, x') \iff x' = x + 1$ 

#### find *inv*(*x*) such that:

 $init(x) \implies inv(x)$ 

 $inv(x) \land (x < 1000) \land trans(x, x') \implies inv(x')$ 

 $inv(x) \wedge \neg(x < 1000) \implies (x < 1005) \wedge (x > 5)$ 



 $init(x) \iff x = 0$  $trans(x, x') \iff x' = x + 1$ 

$$inv(x) = (4 < x) \land (x < 1003)$$



$$\exists \mathbf{P^*} \, \cdot \, \forall x_i \, \cdot \, \sigma(\mathbf{P^*}, x_i)$$

$$C_0 ::= 0000 | 0001 | \dots | 1111$$



 $P_1 ::= arg_1 | arg_2 | C_0$ 

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ 

$$\exists \mathbf{P^*} \, \cdot \, \forall x_i \, \cdot \, \sigma(\mathbf{P^*}, x_i)$$

$$C_0 ::= 0000 | 0001 | \dots | 1111$$









$$\exists \mathbf{P^*} \, \cdot \, \forall x_i \, \cdot \, \sigma(\mathbf{P^*}, x_i)$$

$$C_0 ::= 0000 | 0001 | \dots | 1111$$









 $\exists P^* . \forall x_i . \sigma(P^*, x_i)$ 



51

$$\exists \mathbf{P^*} \, \cdot \, \forall x_i \, \cdot \, \sigma(\mathbf{P^*}, x_i)$$

 $C_0 ::= 0000 | 0001 | \dots | 1111$  $C_1 ::= 0000 | 0001 | \dots | 1111$ 



 $P_{1} ::= arg_{1} | arg_{2} | C_{0}$   $P_{2} ::= P_{1} + P_{1} | arg1 | arg_{2} | C_{1}$   $P_{3} ::= P_{2} + P_{1} | P_{2} - P_{1} | \dots$ 

00 000 00000

$$\exists \mathbf{P^*} \, \cdot \, \forall x_i \, \cdot \, \sigma(\mathbf{P^*}, x_i)$$

$$C_0 ::= 0000 | 0001 | \dots | 1111$$
  
 $C_1 ::= 0000 | 0001 | \dots | 1111$ 



 $P_{1} ::= arg_{1} | arg_{2} | C_{0}$   $P_{2} ::= P_{1} + P_{1} | arg1 | arg_{2} | C_{1}$   $P_{3} ::= P_{2} + P_{1} | P_{2} - P_{1} | \dots$ 



 $P_3 = 15 + arg_1$ 

 $init(x) \iff x = 0$  $trans(x, x') \iff x' = x + 1$ 

$$inv(x) = (4 < x) \land (x > 1003)$$

Target:  
$$inv(x) = (4 < x) \land (x < 1003)$$



And so on ..



# Can we ask more general questions?











# Can we give more general answers?

# More general questions More general answers



## DPLL(T)



### CEGIS(T)









#### Generalize





#### Deduction



is there a value for v that makes (x < v) a valid invariant


# CEGIS(T) - SMT



#### First Order Solver

Solves first order formula with:

- Arbitrary propositional structure
- 1 quantifier alternation

Paper presents 2 versions:

- SMT (Z3) [1]
- Fourier Motzkin

[1] Z3: An Efficient SMT Solver. De Moura et al. TACAS 2008

# CEGIS(T) - SMT



### CEGIS(T) - SMT

$$\exists v \forall x \, . \, \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v < c) \qquad \exists v \forall x \, . \, \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v > c)$$

$$\neg P^*[v] \qquad v > c \qquad v < c \qquad P^*[v]$$
**BLOCK** CONSTRAINT CONSTRAINT SOLUTION

Target:  
$$inv(x) = (4 < x) \land (x < 1003)$$

$$\exists v \forall x \, . \, \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v < c) \qquad \exists v \forall x \, . \, \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v > c)$$



Target:  
$$inv(x) = (4 < x) \land (x < 1003)$$

$$\exists v \forall x . \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v < 95) \left( \exists v \forall x . \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v > 95) \right)$$







Target:  

$$inv(x) = (4 < x) \land (x < 1003)$$
UNSAT
$$\exists v \forall x . \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v < 95) \qquad \exists v \forall x . \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v > 95)$$

$$\neg P^*[v] \qquad v > 95 \qquad v < 95 \qquad P^*[v]$$
BLOCK
$$P^*[v] \qquad v > 95 \qquad v < 95 \qquad P^*[v]$$
Solution





Target:inv(x) = (4 < x) \land (x < 1003)TIMEOUTTIMEOUT
$$\exists v \forall x . \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v_1 < 95)$$
 $\exists v \forall x . \sigma(P^*[v], x) \land (v_1 > 95)$ 



$$\neg P^*[v] \qquad v > 95 \qquad v < 95 \qquad P^*[v]$$
BLOCK CONSTRAINT CONSTRAINT SOLUTION

#### Experiments

Benchmarks:

- Bitvectors
- Syntax-guided Synthesis competition (without the syntax)
- Loop invariants
- Danger invariants

Solvers:

- CVC4 [1]
- EUSolver, E3Solver, LoopInvGen bitvectors with no grammar unsupported

[1] CVC4. Barrett et al. CAV 2011

#### Experiments





#### **Experiments**



### **CEGIS(T) - Conclusions**

CEGIS(T) solves program synthesis via 1<sup>st</sup> order solvers that support quantifiers:

• Enables use of existing solvers

Algorithmic insights:

- verify generalized candidate solutions
- return generalized counterexamples





Reasoning about unbounded or large data structures requires quantification

$$\exists P \forall x . \sigma(P, x)$$
  
Quantifier free Quantifier free

Reasoning about unbounded or large data structures requires quantification

$$\exists P \forall x . \sigma(P, x)$$
  
Quantifiers Quantifiers

















Example	Z3-Horn solver	QUIC3	SynRG
duplication	t/o	t/o	t/o
equal arrays 1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
equal arrays 2	u	u	t/o
exists 1	u	u	$\checkmark$
Fibonacci	t/o	t/o	t/o
fill 1	t/o	t/o	$\checkmark$
fill 2	t/o	t/o	t/o
find first 1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
find first 2	u	u	$\checkmark$
permutation 1	u	u	t/o
permutation 2	t/o	t/o	$\checkmark$
permutation 3	t/o	t/o	$\checkmark$
permutation 4	t/o	t/o	$\checkmark$
permutation 5	t/o	t/o	$\checkmark$
simple array	t/o	t/o	$\checkmark$
array and constant	t/o	t/o	$\checkmark$
two indices 1	t/o	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Table 1. Examples solved by each solver. We ran the experiments with a 600 s timeout but all the solved examples were solved within 10 s. t/o indicates the time-out was exceeded.  $\mathbf{u}$  indicates the solver returned "unknown".



### Fully Automated Assertion Verification

 Verification of real-world software is not yet fully automated





Manual writing:

- invariants
- pre-and-postconditions
- code summaries



#### **Questions?**

